



Kurt Scherzinger

06/23/2005 02:48 PM

To: District Directors, ross.chittenden@dot.ca.gov@DOT, david.brewer@dot.ca.gov@DOT, Matt Brady/D01/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Cheryl Willis/D01/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Gary Banducci/D01/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Laura Fields/D01/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Shirley Choate/D02/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Tim Huckabay/D02/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Wendy Lonnberg/D02/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Wayne A Lewis/D03/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Amarjeet Benipal/D03/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Gary Sidhu/D03/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Andrew Brandt/D03/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Pam Brunnmeier/D03/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Stewart D Ng/D04/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Mark I Zabaneh/D04/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Gene Gonzalo/D04/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Chun Tsung/D04/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Dana Cowell/D04/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Doanh Nguyen/D04/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Rich Krumholz/D05/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Tim Gubbins/D05/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Nancy Wickersham/D05/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Val Houdyshell/D05/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Alan Mccuen/D06/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Mike Rastegar/D06/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Malcolm Dougherty/D06/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Alberto Angelini/D07/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Tad Teferi/D07/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Jimmy Shih/D07/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Paul Engstrom/HQ/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, William A Mosby/D08/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Linda Supernaw/D08/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Brad Mettam/D09/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Craig Holste/D09/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Ken Baxter/D10/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Rita D Encinas/D10/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Dennis T Agar/D03/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Allan Kosup/D11/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Bob Lowrie/D11/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Jim Beil/D12/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, George Kopjak/D12/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Karla Sutliff/HQ/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, David Youmans/HQ/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Matt Bailey/HQ/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Mary Payyappilly/HQ/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Rick Guevel/D03/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Andre Schokrpur/D06/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Pat Weston/HQ/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Juan Guzman/HQ/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Matt Paul/HQ/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Bill Bronte/HQ/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Kurt Scherzinger/HQ/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Ken Solak/HQ/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Leah Cagle/HQ/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Rambabu Bavirisetty/HQ/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Linda Newton/HQ/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Erik Zechlin/HQ/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT

cc:

Subject: June 17 STIP VTC Followup

At the June 17 VTC Workshop some things were highlighted for follow-up:

Reauthorization Assumptions (What were they are based on):

SHA 9 Federal Obligation Authority (OA) - The level of OA is estimated to be \$15.1 billion over the FE period.

Click the hyperlink below and turn to page 8 of the Fund Estimate Assumptions below for more information:

[http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/transprog/ctcliaison/2005/0505/Tab%20101%20\(4.3\)%20STIP.pdf](http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/transprog/ctcliaison/2005/0505/Tab%20101%20(4.3)%20STIP.pdf)

A couple of handouts referred to distributed on the day of the June 16 Workshop (We didn't have the electronic copy to email you in time for the VTC)

- Prepared by Budgets, this handout outlines the two main scenario's for 05/06 and 06/07 allocation capacity. Both assume tribal gaming will happen at some time, one assumes prop 42 next year the other does not. The Department recommended scenario 2 to the CTC.
- CTC Reaction - After accounting for Gaming risk [of not happening] leaves \$800 million. Less the RW allocation and some other things leaves about \$500 to \$600 million for STIP allocations.
- CTC also plan to shorten the 12 months from allocation to award to 6 months and request the department report voted not awarded after 4 months. New policy to be official at July CTC meeting.



050616 STIP_x007E_SHOPP alloc criteri

- Handout describing TCRP allocation priorities for this FY.



FY05-06 TCRP Allocation Need

CTC Guideline Highlights

- Districts should identify any State highway improvement needs within the region that could be programmed within the 3 years beyond the end of the STIP period.
- These needs should be provided to the regional agency at least 90 days prior to the due date for submittal of the RTIP (About September 15, 2005)
- District should provide a copy or list of its RTIP recommendations and identification of additional State highway needs for each region to Headquarters for forwarding to the Commission.
- See <http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/transprog/stip/stipguid/G-03-19.pdf> (Section 20 - page 8) for more information.

Allocation Capacity for 2005/06 and 2006/07

Scenario 1. Full Proposition 42 Transfer and Tribal Gaming Bond Proceeds

	2005/06	2006/07
SHOPP	\$1,800	\$1,800
STIP	1,634	1,271
TCRP	968	678
TOTAL	\$4,402	\$3,749

Scenario 2. No proposition 42 Transfer in FY 2006/07

	2005/06	2006/07
SHOPP	\$1,800	\$1,800
STIP	1,384	671
TCRP	968	
TOTAL	\$4,152	\$2,471

**Traffic Congestion Relief Program (TCR Program)
FY 2005-06 Allocation Need Summary**

In February 2005, the California Transportation Commission in cooperation with the Department of Transportation surveyed all Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program lead agencies to obtain the most current information on TCR Program projects. Each lead agency was asked to provide the expected cashflow for projects that had received an allocation of TCR Program funds, the expected cashflow for future TCR Program fund allocations to complete the projects (as funding was made available in the 2005-06 fiscal year), and updated project schedules and funding plans. This information was published and presented by the CTC at a Senate Executive Committee hearing on March 16, 2005, in the form of a multi-fiscal year resource need.

The Department in preparation for the Allocation Criteria meeting to be held on June 16, 2005, reviewed and updated the agency information. The goal was to develop the TCRP Allocation Need for projects ready to proceed to construction in FY 2005-06. As a result, some project allocation needs moved out of FY 2005-06 into later years due to approved LONP, approved schedule/scope changes, informal information provided by applicant agencies, and TCRP STIP components being programmed beyond FY 2005-06.

FY 2005-06 TCRP Allocation Need

	\$ in Millions	Cumulative
TCRP Existing Allocation Cash Reserve	\$93	\$93
TCRP Repayment - Approved AB 1335 Letters of No Prejudice	\$119	\$212
TCRP Match for STIP Programming	\$70	\$282
TCRP Construction	\$422	\$704
TCRP Non-Construction	\$861	\$1,565